FARAD CONTINUTION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Second Appeal No. l532 of 2005

Office Notes,Office Memorandum of Coram appearence,Court's Orders & Directors and Registrar's Order	Court or Judge's Order

Mr G.R.Agrawal for appellants
Mr. S.B Patel for respondent nos.l to 3

CORAM; A.M.KHANWILKAR J.

DATED 2.3.2006

P.C.

. Heard counsel for the appellants. After careful consideration of the findings recorded by the courts below being concurrent findings of fact, in my opinion no substantial question of law will arise for consideration. The trial court has analysed the claim of the appellants in para l2. Similarly the appeal court has analysed the case of the appellants in para l8 . The findings so reached by the courts below that the plot bearing CTS Nos.433 and 434 alongwith the temple

was a private temple owned by Gopal Dandekar who in turn sold the same in favour of the father of the defendant nos.l to 3. On consideration of the relevant materials on record in my opinion no substantial question of law arises for consideration. Hence appeal is dismissed.